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In 1928, Alexander Fleming’s discovery of the Penicillin antibiotic revolutionised healthcare and has saved countless lives since. Antibiotics can shut down a bacterial infection by preventing bacterial reproduction or by killing the bacteria via various mechanisms. Whilst antibiotics are an essential tool for treating disease, their effectiveness in preventing severe illness and death is under threat. Antibiotic resistance, also known as bacterial antimicrobial resistance (AMR), is now one of the biggest dangers to public and global health.

When a bacterial infection is treated with antibiotics, certain bacteria in a patient may hold genetic mutations that allow them to evade detection or destruction by the drugs. These bacteria are described as ‘resistant’ to the antibiotics and they may eventually die or be killed off by the immune system or, they may continue to proliferate. In this case, the infection can become more severe and spread further, requiring the administration of different and stronger antibiotics to overcome it. Worryingly, these strains of antibiotic-resistant bacteria can infect other people and create wide-reaching problems.

There is a direct correlation between the amounts of antibiotics consumed and the growth of AMR. It is without a doubt that the more AMR spreads, the lower the efficacy of antibiotics and as a result, complications and deaths due to AMR increase. Around 4.95 million deaths were related to antibiotic resistance in 2019 (with sub-Saharan Africa feeling the greatest burden of this, followed by South Asia). Nearly 80% of deaths due to antibiotic resistance in 2019 (with sub-Saharan Africa feeling the greatest burden of this, followed by South Asia). Nearly 80% of deaths due to antibiotic resistance in 2019 (with sub-Saharan Africa feeling the greatest burden of this, followed by South Asia). Nearly 80% of deaths due to antibiotic resistance in 2019 (with sub-Saharan Africa feeling the greatest burden of this, followed by South Asia). Nearly 80% of deaths due to antibiotic resistance in 2019 (with sub-Saharan Africa feeling the greatest burden of this, followed by South Asia).

Another contributing factor is the use of antibiotics in livestock as a preventative measure and to improve yields. When resistant bacterial strains evolve in these animals, there is strong evidence to suggest that they can be passed on to humans through their meat. Another important contributor is the extensive over-prescription of antibiotics to patients all over the world. There remains a strong belief that antibiotics will cure everything, and antibiotics remain cheap and easily available. These factors are compounded by a lack of public and professional knowledge regarding effective antibiotic use and the need for conservative treatment. Another factor is that in low and middle-income countries in particular, lack of access to laboratory microbiology testing often impairs decision-making regarding appropriate antibiotic use.

Around the globe, scientists and clinicians are working to better understand the progression of bacterial antimicrobial resistance and how to address it. One of these researchers is Dr Rogier Hopstaken, who is a
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As the strains of bacteria that are not killed by antibiotics proliferate, increasing numbers of people are at risk of severe illness and even death. Dr Rogier Hopstaken from Star-shl Diagnostic Centres in the Netherlands has shown that a simple, yet effective technique may be the answer to antibiotic over-prescription. A C-reactive protein test at primary points of care can indicate whether a patient with a respiratory tract infection has a severe (bacterial) infection and thus, whether antibiotics are required. This test may be our best tool yet to help combat antibiotic resistance in primary care.
General Practitioner (GP) and innovation specialist at Starshl Diagnostic Centres in the Netherlands. Around 80% of all antibiotics for humans are prescribed in primary care. Focus on better antibiotic stewardship in this particular setting is rare, however. Through his investigations, Dr Hopstaken has discovered that most antibiotics are prescribed in a primary care setting (such as a GP’s practice), and for respiratory tract infections. Yet 70% of these infections are caused by viruses and are, therefore, completely untreatable with antibiotics. Most importantly, regardless of the cause, non-severe infections do not require treatment with antibiotics. Dr Hopstaken has made it his life’s mission to evidence and make known an effective new method of antibiotic prescribing to finally minimise the burden of antibiotic resistance. His extensive research allows us to ask and answer key questions about this worldwide dilemma.

Making Decisions About Antibiotic Prescribing

Antibiotics for respiratory tract infections are mostly prescribed based on personal beliefs, perceived patient expectations, patient desire, and findings from patient history taking and physical examination. Whilst some symptoms can be objective and measurable (such as an abnormal body temperature, blood pressure and respiratory rate), others are not (such as chest auscultation, pain, fatigue and gut feeling). This leaves room for misdiagnosis, particularly in presumed pneumonia cases. The mostly self-limiting acute bronchitis cases are often unnecessarily judged to be pneumonia, leading to large-scale overuse of antibiotics. In contrast, secondary care (such as referral to a hospital) often has the resources to allow a deeper investigation to take place before diagnosis, with the added benefits of immunology, microbiology and radiology, for example.

To address this unnecessary, precautionary prescribing of antibiotics, Dr Hopstaken is pushing forward a technique called C-reactive protein point-of-care testing (CRP POCT). Point-of-care testing in family practice is used to inform clinical decision-making, a process through which the patient and physician agree upon the most appropriate way forward.

CRP is an acute-phase protein that is produced by the liver in response to inflammation. By testing CRP levels, physicians can determine whether a respiratory tract infection is severe, and thus, whether antibiotics are required. Dr Hopstaken and his team have shown that CRP is by far the best predictor of pneumonia, performing much better than any symptom or sign, and even better than the combination of all symptoms and signs that help to diagnose pneumonia properly.

Clinical Evidence for C-reactive Protein Point-of-care Testing

Through work conducted over a number of years, Dr Hopstaken’s team has shown how useful CRP POCT can be for reducing unneeded antibiotic use. In two randomised controlled studies, his team has shown that proper introduction of CRP POCT resulted in a 30% reduction of unneeded antibiotic prescriptions. The addition of improved communication skills added considerably to this effect. In their randomised controlled trial published in the British Medical Journal in 2009, the participants were adult patients visiting their GP with acute cough. In their more recent randomised controlled trial, published in 2021 (also in the British Medical Journal), participants were vulnerable elderly individuals with lower respiratory tract infections living in nursing homes. The same, spectacular result was achieved.

Since these studies were performed in a country with one of the lowest antibiotic prescribing rates in the world (the Netherlands), this approach holds much promise globally. Dr Hopstaken, therefore, focuses now on implementing CRP POCT for those countries with much higher antibiotic prescribing rates, and with much higher AMR rates as a consequence, already leading to many unneeded complications and casualties.
after introducing CRP POCT in routine care. In Sweden, some evidence of over-testing with CRP exists, possibly because of the lack of guidance in the early years of introduction.

The existing POCT systems in the Netherlands provide a useful model for how other countries could implement testing. All their testing resources, staff training and quality management are provided by the same organisations that carry out the central laboratory testing. Government reimbursement is usually provided to the supporting laboratory, rather than to the GP. In return, the GP can test for free and has no significant administrative and logistic burden. In addition, Dr Hopstaken has co-authored guidelines for his and other countries to use. Combining POCT implementation results from Australia and the Netherlands reveals that when GPs adapt and integrate testing into their practice, it improves their efficiency.

**What Are the Next Steps?**

Dr Hopstaken explains, ‘Together with many other researchers in Europe, we have gathered so much evidence of the added value of CRP POCT in diagnosing pneumonia, and for better antibiotic stewardship. We have also proven that care professionals and patients are extremely satisfied with CRP POCT and our intervention strategy to communicate better with patients on the topic of lower respiratory tract infection, illness aspects, antibiotics and AMR.’ He further notes, ‘We have shown a possible best case of implementation of those in the Netherlands. But if we want to have an impact on AMR, we need to bring this across the border and collaborate globally. We need to involve all crucial stakeholders, including policymakers and the diagnostic industry, to find solutions to existing barriers to implementation of CRP POCT.’

Most importantly, Dr Hopstaken wants to improve the diagnostic and communication processes used by physicians so that more patients with pneumonia get the antibiotics they need and those with minor illnesses are prescribed them less frequently. To achieve this, he argues that a support model must also be created for GPs to facilitate integration, and ensure the quality and monitoring of testing and antibiotic prescribing. Finally, Dr Hopstaken believes the funding or reimbursement of POCT should be seen as a wider investment in better healthcare as a whole, with the caveat that pervasive incentives for testing should not be created. Although CRP POCT and better communication styles will play an important role in reducing antibiotic resistance, it should be seen as one intervention in a multi-step process. As such, behavioural and regulatory processes for antibiotic use should also be enforced.

Through his extensive research into CRP POCT, Dr Hopstaken has shown that this manner of antibiotic stewardship could pave the way to finally addressing antibiotic resistance, starting with general practice where most antibiotics are prescribed, and then beyond.
Dr Rogier Hopstaken is a General Practitioner in Hapert in the Netherlands and also works as an innovation specialist at Star-shl Diagnostic Centres in Etten-Leur/Rotterdam. Much of his research centres around point-of-care testing (POCT) and in collaboration with a number of universities, he studies the value of POCT, and how proven tests can be effectively implemented. He has a particular interest in lower respiratory tract infections, antimicrobial resistance, and C-reactive protein POCT. Dr Hopstaken is the principal author of the Dutch guideline on POCT in general practice, and is chairing the Special Interest Group of POCT of the World Organization of Family Doctors (WONCA).
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