Recognition’s Role in STEM Identity Development

SciGirls CONNECT
The Gender Gap

In 2013, a report by the US Department of Commerce revealed that women are significantly underrepresented in STEM disciplines, holding less than 30% of STEM jobs, despite making up approximately half of the global working population. These numbers tend to be even lower for minority women of colour, who typically represent one out of 10 employed engineers and scientists.

The gender gap within STEM fields can be traced back to childhood, when a majority of girls begin to move away from STEM disciplines and towards more humanistic fields while completing their middle school education. Early teens are a crucial time, because they are the years when girls start forming attitudes and interests towards specific subjects that shape their future career aspirations.

While many young girls have positive attitudes towards, interests in, and remarkable talents with STEM subjects during their early education, most never realise their potential because they tend to lose confidence in STEM subjects. This perpetuates a STEM workforce that is largely male dominated – one that misses out on a vast and rich talent pool of gifted women. Such homogenous workplaces, primarily made up of white men, also suffer from a lack of diversity in perspectives – hindering progress and innovation.

Aware of the current gender gap in STEM fields, universities and other organisations across the US have been developing a growing number of academic programs aimed at encouraging more females to engage in STEM disciplines from a young age. Motivating girls to pursue STEM-related careers involves helping them to build a ‘STEM identity’, by strengthening their interest, confidence and motivation in approaching STEM fields.

SciGirls CONNECT

To continue working towards reducing the gender gap in STEM fields, a team of US researchers and educators introduced a project called SciGirls, funded by the NSF. The project involved the creation of a television show and later a website resource and an outreach program for girls between the ages of 8 and 13, aimed at strengthening their STEM identities.

Eventually, the project also fuelled the formation of SciGirls CONNECT, a network of 140 academic institutions and organisations across the US that all use teaching resources developed as part of the SciGirls project. These teaching resources include the SciGirls Strategies, a set of seven teaching strategies that help educators to increase the engagement of primary and middle school girls in STEM disciplines.
Three STEM-education experts at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory in Tallahassee, Florida – Roxanne Hughes, Kari Roberts and Jennifer Schellinger – are currently leading the research portion of the project. As part of this work, they have been exploring the impact of multiple SciGirls programs on the STEM identity of female students. Their ultimate goal is to use the data they collect to improve SciGirls teaching strategies and academic programs and to add to the larger conversation occurring in the STEM-education research community about gender equity and STEM identity.

“We were able to collect two years of data on informal STEM education programs, including summer camps and after-school programs, for girls across the US to empirically understand the impact of these programs on middle school youths’ STEM identity,” says Hughes. “We define STEM identity as individuals’ sense of belonging and success in STEM domains and careers.”

**Evaluating Impact**

The team’s work is guided by a conceptual framework for STEM identity that draws inspiration from the work of several researchers including Heidi Carbone, Angela Johnson and Angela Calabrese Barton. This framework suggests that to develop a stronger STEM identity, an individual has to develop STEM-related skills and engage in activities where they can perform these skills, so that they can be recognised for these skills by experts in the field.

“This last portion is not well understood by science education researchers,” explains Schellinger. “Our study supports the importance of external recognition through quantitative results. It also provides examples of what recognition looks like in an informal STEM education setting through examination of these observational data.”

To evaluate the impact of SciGirls programs, Hughes, Roberts and Schellinger collected survey responses from 148 young girls who took part in one of 11 SciGirls programs. The surveys measured youths’ self-efficacy and STEM identity both before they started a SciGirls program and after completing it.

“The subscales for the STEM Self-Efficacy scale were: Self-Confidence, Openness to Challenge, and Willingness to Learn,” says Roberts. “The subscales for STEM Identity were: Self-Perception, which involves seeing oneself as a STEM person or someone who is competent in STEM, and External Perception, which means believing that others see one as a STEM person or someone who is competent in STEM.”

In addition to analysing survey data, the researchers gathered observations at three locations that offered SciGirls programs, to examine how the programs influenced the STEM identities of participating girls. This data included the collection of video recordings and observation notes during each activity, as well as recordings of interviews with both educators and youth at each location.
Effect of SciGirls on STEM Identity

The team’s research yielded a number of promising results. For instance, the researchers found that programs incorporating SciGirls teaching strategies strengthened the STEM identities of participating girls, often changing their perceptions about how others viewed them (for example, as a ‘STEM person’).

Interestingly, however, the girls’ own perceptions of themselves did not always change, highlighting the need for more activities that can encourage a positive shift in self-perceptions, helping girls to recognise their potential in STEM disciplines.

Examination of the observational data gathered by the researchers during the three SciGirls programs also suggests that there was a growth in the participating girls’ STEM identities. More specifically, these findings suggest that SciGirls programs created supportive and inclusive learning environments, where young girls could participate in learning activities that increased their engagement and competence in STEM subjects.

Face-to-face interviews with the educators delivering the programs, however, highlighted their difficulties in understanding the meaning of ‘culturally responsive teaching’, one of the essential constructs highlighted by the SciGirls project. Additionally, the educators expressed uncertainty about how to apply culturally responsive teaching in their programs. Their uncertainty was reflected in the observational data, as the researchers found that culturally responsive teaching strategies were rarely or never employed by educators in their respective SciGirls program.

The Future of SciGirls CONNECT

Overall, the findings of the research conducted by Hughes, Roberts and Schellinger indicate that informal education programs guided by SciGirls teaching strategies can support girls in exploring their STEM identities, helping them to recognise the value of STEM disciplines and empowering them to pursue STEM-related educational pathways and careers in the future.

The analyses carried out by the researchers also shed light on specific teaching strategies that could be improved or better conveyed to educators, such as culturally responsive teaching. As well, aspects of STEM identity that appeared to be unaffected by the programs need further examination, including the students’ perception of themselves as potential STEM professionals.

The findings identified by Hughes, Roberts and Schellinger have the potential to help improve SciGirls teaching strategies and academic programs by highlighting specific areas that require further attention. The researchers are now working on disseminating their research at national and international conferences, while also presenting them in peer-reviewed STEM education journals.

‘We also plan to follow-up with participants to examine how their STEM identities changed after participating in SciGirls CONNECT programs,’ says Hughes. ‘The goal of this follow-up is to understand how school, family, and out-of-school opportunities intersect to influence and change STEM identity.’
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